



8FW
16354

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450, on February 23, 2005.

QUINE INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW GROUP, P.C.

By: Evelyn Gomez

Appl. No. :	10/070,340	Confirmation No. 2412
Applicant :	Frank Seela, et al.	
Filed :	June 4, 2002 (International Filing Date: August 8, 2000)	
TC/A.U. :	1635	
Examiner :	Unassigned	
Docket No. :	78-002210US	Amendments and Election of Species
Customer No. :	22798	
Client Ref No.:	18980-US	

In response to the Restriction Requirement mailed November 4, 2004, Applicants elect to prosecute Group VII (claim 29, as originally numbered), with traverse.

Applicants request entry of amendments to the claims presented herein.

Applicants appreciate the time and efforts of Examiner Riley in a phone interview, which helped clarify the issues before us. Applicants' summary of the Examiner interview of January 18, 2005, in the above cited matter is provided below.

Parties to the interview included Examiner Riley, and Gary Baker and Jonathan Alan Quine for the Applicant.

It was agreed that the original claim numbering from 1 to 35 will be retained after the cancellation of claims 22-25 in the preliminary amendment of February 27, 2002.

All claims were discussed in general with regard to the Examiner's suggested restriction grouping and Applicant's proposed grouping. Claims 28 and 29 (of Applicant's proposed group VI) were discussed in particular and Applicants agreed to provide elected species from the Markush groups associated with these claims.

The Examiner agreed that election of species practice, rather than restriction within claims, is appropriate at this stage

Applicant agreed to provide a proposed Restriction grouping, election of a group, and election of species within the elected group, in the Response to the Office Action of November 4, 2004.